

changes to RHS:

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ \text{st. } & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ \text{st. } & Ax = b + \theta \cdot e_i \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{P'}$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$

Theorem: Let B be optimal for (P).

B is optimal for (P') if and only if

$$\underbrace{B^{-1}b}_{\bar{b}} + \underbrace{\theta B^{-1}e_i}_{\text{: } i^{\text{th}} \text{ column of } B^{-1}} \geq 0$$

[From lecture 12]

Proof. β is optimal for (P)

$$\Rightarrow \bar{b} = \beta^{-1} b \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - c_{\beta}^T \beta^{-1} A \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

β is optimal for (P') iff

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{b}' &= \beta^{-1} b' = \beta^{-1} (b + \theta e_i) \\ &= \beta^{-1} b + \theta \beta^{-1} e_i \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}' &= c'^T - c_{\beta}'^T \beta^{-1} A = c^T - c_{\beta}^T \beta^{-1} A \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\bar{c}}_{\text{always holds, by (2)}} \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

always holds, by (2)

[From lecture 12]

Observe that even if β stays optimal (P'),

$$\bar{x}'_\beta = \beta^{-1} b' = \beta^{-1} (b + \theta e_i) = \underbrace{\beta^{-1} b}_{\bar{x}_\beta} + \theta \beta^{-1} e_i + \bar{x}_\beta$$

However,

$$\bar{y}' = (\beta^T)^{-1} c'_\beta = (\beta^T)^{-1} c_\beta = \bar{y}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{By strong duality } z' &= c'^T \bar{x}' = b'^T \bar{y}' \\ &= (b + \theta e_i)^T \bar{y} \\ &= b^T \bar{y} + \theta e_i^T \bar{y} \\ &= z + \theta \bar{y}; \end{aligned}$$

[from lecture 12]

Example: House Depot produces:

• hammers (fixed price 130)

from 1.5 kg of steel, 1 rivet, 0.3 kg of plastic, and

• pliers (fixed price 100)

from 1 kg of steel, 1 rivet, 0.5 kg of plastic.

Current stocks are:

27 kg of steel, 21 rivets, 9 kg of plastic.

Maximize income from current stocks.

(Assume that fractional hammers and pliers are ok)

From lecture 11

VAR : x_1 : hammers
 x_2 : pliers

Model :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max } & 130 \cdot x_1 + 100 \cdot x_2 \\ \text{s.t. } & 1.5 x_1 + 1 x_2 \leq 27 \quad \leftarrow \text{Steel} \\ & 1 x_1 + 1 x_2 \leq 21 \quad \leftarrow \text{rivets} \\ & 0.3 x_1 + 0.5 x_2 \leq 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{plastic} \\ & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

[From lecture 11]

Example : hammers & pliers (cont'd)

S.E.F:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{min} & \begin{bmatrix} -130 & -100 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x \\ \text{s.t.} & \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x = \begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 21 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \\ & x \geq 0 \end{array}$$

[Simplex method \Rightarrow]

optimal basis: $B = \{1, 2, 5\}$

$$x^* = (12, 9, 0, 0, 0.3)$$

$$y^* = (-60, -40, 0)$$

$$z^* = -2460 \quad \leftarrow -\text{profit}$$

optimal tableau:

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & [0 \ 0 \ 60 \ 40 \ 0] x \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.4 & -0.9 & 1 \end{array} \right] x = & \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 9 \\ 0.9 \end{bmatrix} \\ x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

optimal basis matrix:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.3 & 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 \\ 0.4 & -0.9 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q1: We change the available steel from 27 to $27 + \theta$.

For what values of θ does the basis remain optimal?

$$b' = b + \theta \cdot e_1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 21 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} + \theta \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bar{b}' = B^{-1} \cdot b' = B^{-1} \cdot (b + \theta e_1)$$

$$= \underbrace{B^{-1}b}_{b} + \theta \underbrace{B^{-1}e_1}_{\text{first column of } B^{-1}}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 9 \\ 0.9 \end{bmatrix} + \theta \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 12 + \theta \cdot 2 \geq 0 \\ 9 + \theta \cdot (-2) \geq 0 \\ 0.9 + \theta \cdot 0.4 \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

implied by
(3)

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta \geq -6 \\ \theta \leq 4.5 \\ \theta \geq -2.25 \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} (1) \\ (2) \\ (3) \end{array}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \theta \in [-2.25, 4.5]$$

Definition: The set of all θ such that B stays optimal is called the allowable range.

Q2. What is the allowable range for plastic?

$$b' = \begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 21 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} + \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow e_3$$

$$\bar{b}' = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 9 \\ 0.9 \end{bmatrix} + \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{third column} \\ \text{of } B^{-1} \end{array} \geq 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 12 + 0 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \\ 9 + 0 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ 0.9 + 1 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 12 \geq 0 \\ 9 \geq 0 \\ \theta \geq -0.9 \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} \text{always true} \\ \boxed{12 \geq 0} \\ \boxed{9 \geq 0} \\ \boxed{\theta \geq -0.9} \end{array}$$

$$\theta \in [-0.9, +\infty[$$

Q3. A seller proposes 4 additional kg of steel for \$200. Should you accept?

Note: from Q1, $\theta = 4 \in [-2.25, 4.5]$,
i.e. 4 is in allowable range for steel.

$$\begin{aligned} z^* &= [-130 \ -100 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0] \cdot x^* = b^T \cdot y^* \\ &= (b + \theta \cdot e_1)^T y^* \\ &= b^T y^* + \theta e_1^T y^* \\ &= z^* + \theta \cdot y_1^* \end{aligned}$$

$$= -2460 - 60 \cdot 0$$

$$= -2460 - \underline{240}$$

(-profit) decreases by
240
profit increases by
240

→ ACCEPT!

Q4 Someone is willing to buy 2 kg
of steel off our stock for \$130.
Accept?

$$\text{Note : } \theta = -2 \in [-2.25, 4.5]$$

→ basis stays the same

$$\begin{aligned}Z^* &= Z^* + \theta \cdot y_1^* \\&= -2460 + (-2) \cdot (-60) \\&= -2460 + 120\end{aligned}$$

(-profit) increases by 120
profit decreases by 120 < 130
→ ACCEPT!

changes to objective function

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & (c + \theta \cdot e_j)^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Ax = b \\ & x \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{P'}$$

for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$

Theorem Let β be optimal for (P).
 β is optimal for (P') if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bar{c}_j + \theta \geq 0 \quad \text{if } j \notin \beta \\ \bar{c}_N - \theta e_i^T \beta^{-1} N \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

if j is the i^{th} basic column.

Nonbasic part of i^{th} row of
optimal tableau.

Proof \mathcal{B} is optimal for $(P) \Rightarrow$

$$\bar{b} = \mathcal{B}^{-1}b \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - c_{\mathcal{B}}^T \mathcal{B}^{-1}A \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

\mathcal{B} is optimal for (P') iff

$$\bar{b}' = \mathcal{B}^{-1}b' = \mathcal{B}^{-1}b = \bar{b} \geq 0$$

always holds, by (1)

$$\bar{c}'^T = c'^T - c_{\mathcal{B}}'^T \mathcal{B}^{-1}A \geq 0$$

Case 1: $j \notin \mathcal{B}$

$$\bar{c}' = (c + \theta e_j)^\top - c_B^\top B^{-1} A$$

$$= \underbrace{c^\top - c_B^\top B^{-1} A}_{\bar{c}} + \theta \cdot e_j^\top$$

$$= \underbrace{\bar{c}^\top}_{\geq 0} + \theta \cdot e_j^\top \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \bar{c}_j + \theta \geq 0$$

Case 2: $j \in \mathcal{B}$, j is the i^{th} basic column

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{c}' &= (c + \theta e_j)^T - (c_{\mathcal{B}} + \theta e_i)^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} A \\ &= \underbrace{c^T - c_{\mathcal{B}}^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} A}_{\bar{c}} + \theta e_j^T - \theta e_i^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} A \\ &= \bar{c}^T - \theta \underbrace{(e_i^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} A - e_j^T)}_{\substack{i^{th} \text{ row} \\ \text{of optimal tableau}}} \geq 0\end{aligned}$$

i^{th} row of optimal tableau
 i^{th} row of optimal tableau
with a zero for basic variable

$$\Leftrightarrow \bar{c}_N^T - \theta \cdot e_i^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} N \geq 0$$

Q5

What is the allowable range
for c_1 ?

Note : $i \in \mathcal{B}$, first basic variable

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - c_B^T B^{-1} A \quad \text{first basic var.}$$

$$= (\underline{c}^T + \theta e_i^T) - (c_B^T + \theta e_i^T) B^{-1} A$$

modifying c_i

$$= \underline{c}^T - c_B^T B^{-1} A - \theta (e_i^T B^{-1} A - e_i)$$

$$= \bar{c}^T - \theta [\begin{matrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \end{matrix}] - [\begin{matrix} \cancel{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{matrix}]$$

$$= [\begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 60 & 40 & 0 \end{matrix}] - \theta [\begin{matrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & -2 & 0 \end{matrix}]$$

$$\geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 - 0 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \\ 0 - 0 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \\ \boxed{\begin{array}{l} 60 - 2 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \\ 40 + 2 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \end{array}} \\ 0 - 0 \cdot \theta \geq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

basic columns
trivially true

$$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \theta \leq 30 \\ \theta \geq -20 \end{array} \right. \Leftrightarrow \theta \in [-20, 30]$$

Warning: in terms of original costs (max), we have

$$-\theta \in [-30, 20]$$