

Previous lecture

$$\text{Let } c' = c + \theta e_j$$

B stays optimal if

$$\begin{cases} \bar{c}_j + \theta \geq 0 & \text{if } j \notin B \\ \bar{c}_N - \theta e_i^\top B^{-1} N \geq 0 & \text{if } j \in B \\ & \text{j is } i^{\text{th}} \text{ basic var} \end{cases}$$
(*)

$$(*) \quad \bar{c} - \theta (i^{\text{th}} \text{ tableau row with zero for } \bar{A}_{ij}) \geq 0$$

Q6 What is the allowable range for c_5 ?

Note: x_5 is the 3rd basic variable

↳ 3rd tableau row, with zeros for basic in

$$[0 \ 0 \ 60 \ 40 \ 0] - \theta [0 \ 0 \ 0.4 \ -0.9 \ 0] \geq 0$$

$$\left[0 \ 0 \ \underbrace{60 - 0.9\theta}_{\text{non basic variables}} \ \underbrace{40 + 0.9\theta}_{\text{variables}} \ 0 \right] \geq 0$$

$$\begin{cases} 60 - 0.9\theta \geq 0 \\ 40 + 0.9\theta \geq 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \theta < 150 \\ \theta \geq -44.44 \end{cases}$$

allowable range for c_5 : $\theta \in [-44.44, 150]$
(for original max problem: $\theta \in [-150, 44.44]$)

Q7 Allowable range for c_3 ?

Note: x_3 non basic

$$\bar{c}_3 + \theta \geq 0$$

$$60 + \theta \geq 0$$

$$\theta \geq -60$$

allowable range: $\theta \in [-60, +\infty[$

for max problem, $\theta \in]-\infty, 60]$

Adding a new variable

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ \text{s.t. } & A x = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned} \tag{P}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \min [c^T \rho] x \\ \text{s.t. } & [A \ g] x = b \\ & x \geq 0 \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \end{aligned} \tag{P'}$$

Theorem Let \mathcal{B} be optimal for (P) .

\mathcal{B} is optimal for (P') if and only if
 $\bar{c}_{n+1} = p - g^* f \geq 0$, where g^* is the
dual solution associated to \mathcal{B} .

Proof \mathcal{B} is optimal for $(P) \Rightarrow$

$$\bar{b} = \mathcal{B}^{-1} b \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\bar{c}^T = c^T - \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} A \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

\mathcal{B} is optimal for (P') iff

$$\bar{b}' = \mathcal{B}^{-1} b' = \mathcal{B}^{-1} b = \bar{b} \geq 0$$

$$\bar{c}'^T = c'^T - \zeta_{\mathcal{B}}'^T \mathcal{B}^{-1} A' \geq 0$$

always holds, by (1)

$$\Leftrightarrow [c^T \rho] - \zeta_B^T \beta^{-1} [A \ f] \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [c^T - \zeta_B^T \beta^{-1} A \quad \rho - \zeta_B^T \beta^{-1} f] \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [\bar{c} \quad \rho - y^*^T f] \geq 0$$

$\zeta_0 \geq 0$ by (2) since $y^* = \beta^{-1} \zeta_B$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \bar{c} \geq 0 & (\text{always true}) \\ \rho - y^{*T} f \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Q8 Wrenches can be sold for a fixed price 100 and are produced from 2 kg steel, 1 rivet, 0.4 kg plastic

Should House Depot enter the wrench market?

$$\text{min} \begin{bmatrix} -130 & -100 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -100 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \begin{bmatrix} 1.5 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.3 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0.4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 21 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x \geq 0$$

Observation : Let \mathcal{B} be optimal for (P).

(P) does not have x_6 , so

\mathcal{B} is optimal (P')

$\Leftrightarrow x_6$ is nonbasic at optimality

\Leftrightarrow its reduced cost $\bar{c}_6 \geq 0$

We have $\bar{c}_6 = p - \mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{f} = (-100) - \begin{bmatrix} -60 & -10 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$= -100 - (-160) = 60 \geq 0$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is optimal for (P')

x_6 is nonbasic at optimality

$$x_6^* = 0$$

Producing wrenches is not profitable

Q 9 What happens if B is not optimal for (P') .

Changing nonbasic cost / add variable

- one reduced cost is negative
- apply simplex method, starting at B
- modified variable enters the basis
- in practice, only one or few pivots necessary

Changing basic cost

- Typically, few reduced costs become negative
- Same as above

Changing RHS

- reduced costs remain ≥ 0
- typically, few components of \bar{b} become negative
- could not start simplex method at \mathcal{B} .

\Rightarrow dual simplex method

Dual Simplex method

(primal) simplex method

- maintains $\bar{b} \geq 0$
- achieves $\bar{c} \geq 0$

dual simplex method

- maintains $\bar{c} \geq 0$
- achieves $\bar{b} \geq 0$

Example Tableau:

Min

s.t.

(x_1)

$$3x_4 + 2x_5 \\ -2x_4 - x_5 = -2$$

(x_2)

$$-x_4 + x_5 = 1$$

(x_3)

$$+2x_5 = 1$$

- A negative variable will leave the basis
→ x_i leaves \mathcal{B} , x_e enters for $e \in \{4, 5\}$
- In the next tableau, we need $\bar{c}_j^t \geq 0 \quad \forall j$
 $\bar{c}_e^t = 0$

- Can be achieved by adding λ times the first row to the objective function (i.e. adding constant $\lambda \cdot (-2)$).

- we will have

$$(\text{constant} +) \min \lambda x_1 + (3-2\lambda)x_4 + (2-\lambda)x_5$$

$$\bar{c}_1' = \bar{c}_1 + \lambda = 0 + \lambda = \lambda \geq 0 \quad (*)$$

$$\bar{c}_e' = \bar{c}_e + \lambda \bar{A}_{ie} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\bar{c}_e}{-\bar{A}_{ie}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{by } (*) , \quad \lambda = \frac{\bar{c}_e}{-\bar{A}_{ie}} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \bar{A}_{ie} < 0$$

$$\cdot \forall j \neq e, \quad \bar{c}_j' = \bar{c}_j + \lambda \bar{A}_{ij} \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\lambda \bar{A}_{ij}}_{\substack{\geq 0 \\ \text{by } (*)}} \geq - \underbrace{\bar{c}_j}_{\substack{\geq 0 \\ \leq 0}}$$

- always true if $\bar{A}_{ij} \geq 0$
 - if $\bar{A}_{ij} < 0$, $\lambda \leq -\frac{\bar{c}_j}{\bar{A}_{ij}}$
 - we need $\lambda = \min \left\{ \begin{array}{l|l} \frac{\bar{c}_j}{-\bar{A}_{ij}} & | \bar{A}_{ij} < 0 \end{array} \right\}$
- ratio test for
 dual simplex method