Boolean logic

We are here

 Part 1: How computers works 	• Part 3:
Boolean logic, integers	■ Spe
Instructions	Do
Memory	Sta
Part 2: Software development	• Part 4:
 Compiling (clang, make,) 	■ CP
 Architectures, portability (ABIs,) 	■ Da
 Code management (git) 	Par

Correctness

- ecifications
- cumentation, testing
- atic & dynamic analysis, debugging

Performance

- U pipelines, caches
- ta structures
- rallel computation

Boolean values, operators, expressions

Boolean values

- False = 0
- True = 1

Boolean variable:

 $x\in\{0,1\}$

5

Boolean operators

logic gate	C code	pseudocode / Python	math notation	operator
A-Q	!,~	not	Г	negation
A B Q	&&, &	and	۸,×	conjunction
A B D Q	,	or	V,+	disjunction

Boolean expressions

Example expression:

(a and b) or (not c)

Example function:

f(a, b, c) := (a and b) or (not c)

NOT operator

Truth table:

X	not	Χ
0		1
1		0

Example assignment:

w := not a

AND operator

Truth table:

X	У	x and y
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

Example assignment:

$$z := a and (not b)$$

OR operator

Truth table:

X	У	x or y
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	1

Example assignment:

$$z := (not a) or (b and c)$$

More operators!





NAND

Χ	У	x nand y
0	0	1
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

D -0

NOR

X
0
0
1
1

Q: How many distinct **unary** Boolean operators? A: one?? (NOT)

Actually, we have 4 deterministic unary operators in total (counting 3 trivial unary operators):

always-false		always-true		identity			NOT
X	0	X	1	X	X	X	not x
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0

Q: How many distinct binary operators?

A: As many as there are corresponding truth tables.

Q: How many distinct truth tables for two Boolean inputs and one Boolean output?

X	У	op(x,	y)
0	0		?
0	1		?
1	0		?
1	1		?

A: $2^4 = 16$

Q: Why do we often use only NOT, AND, OR?

A: Because

- they are the most intuitive
- all nontrivial operators can be represented with NOT, AND, OR

Examples:

x nand
$$y = not (x and y)$$

x xor $y = (x or y) and (not (x and$

Note: NAND and NOR are called *universal* logic gates:

every nontrivial operator can be represented with *only* NANDs (or *only* NORs)

y))

Q: How do we prove this?

x xor y = (x or y) and (not (x and y))

A:

X	У	x xor y	(x or y) and (
0	0	0	
0	1	1	
1	0	1	
1	1	0	

The identity is correct iff the truth tables match.

(not (x and y))

0

1

1

0

Boolean identites and Boolean problems

Boolean identities I

- x and $\emptyset = \emptyset$
- x or 1 = 1
- x and 1 = x
- x or 0 = x
- x or x = x
- x and x = x

17

Boolean identities II

• AND is commutative:

• AND is associative:

• OR is commutative:

$$x \text{ or } y = y \text{ or } x$$

• OR is associative:

x or (y or z) = (x or y) or z

) and z

Boolean identities III

• Distributivity (AND over OR):

x and (y or z) = (x and y) or (x and z)

• Distributivity (OR over AND):

x or
$$(y \text{ and } z) = (x \text{ or } y)$$

• De Morgan's law (1):

(not x) and (not y) = not (x or y)

• De Morgan's law (2):

(not x) or (not y) = not (x and y)

and (x or z)

Satisfiability problem

Given a Boolean expression, find a value for each variable such that the expression is true. Equivalently: Find a 1 in the truth table.

Example: x1 and ((not x2) or x3) and (not x3)

		x1	x2	х3	x1 and ((not x2) or x3) and (not x3)
		0	0	0	0
		0	0	1	0
		0	1	0	0
		0	1	1	0
		1	0	0	1
		1	0	1	0
		1	1	0	0
		1	1	1	0
olution:	x1 = 1,	x2	= 0,	x3	$S = \emptyset$

Definitions

- Variable: $x_j \in \{0,1\}$, for some $j \in J \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ Ex.:
 - x1 x5
- Literal: either x_j or $eg x_j$, for some $j \in J$ Ex.:

```
х3
(not x8)
```

• Disjunctive clause: $igvee_{j\in J^0}
eg x_j ee igvee_{j\in J^1} x_j$ for some $J^0, J^1\subseteq J$ Ex.:

x2 or (not x4) or (not x6) (not x1) or x5 or x6 or x7 or x9

• Conjunctive clause: $igwedge_{j\in J^0}
eg x_j \wedge igwedge_{j\in J^1} x_j$ for some $J^0, J^1\subseteq J$ Ex.:

x2 and (not x4) and (not x6) (not x1) and x5 and x6 and x7 and x9

Conjunctive normal form

The conjunctive normal form (CNF) is a conjunction of disjunctive clauses:

$$igwedge_{i\in I} \left(igwedge_{j\in J^{i,0}}
eg x_j \lor igwedge_{j\in J^{i,1}} x_j
ight), \qquad ext{where } J^{i,0},$$

Examples:

((x1 or x2) and (x3 or x4) and (x5 or x6))

((x1 or (not x2)) and (x3 or (not x4)))

(x2 or (not x4) or (not x6))and ((not x1) or x5 or x6 or x7 or x9)and ((not x1) or (not x2) or (not x3))and (x4 or x5 or x6)

$J^{i,1}\subseteq J\subseteq \mathbb{N}, \ orall i\in I\subseteq \mathbb{N}$

Disjunctive normal form

The disjunctive normal form (DNF) is a disjunction of conjunctive clauses:

$$\bigvee_{i\in I} \left(igwedge_{j\in J^{i,0}}
eg x_j \wedge igwedge_{j\in J^{i,1}} x_j
ight), \qquad ext{where } J^{i,0},$$

Examples:

((x1 and x2) or (x3 and x4) or (x5 and x6))

((x1 and (not x2)) or (x3 and (not x4)))

(x2 and (not x4) and (not x6))
or ((not x1) and x5 and x6 and x7 and x9)
or ((not x1) and (not x2) and (not x3))
or (x4 and x5 and x6)

$J^{i,1}\subseteq J\subseteq \mathbb{N}, \ orall i\in I\subseteq \mathbb{N}$

Theorems

- Every Boolean expression can be put into CNF
 - For every Boolean expression with n variables and k literals using operators { NOT, AND, OR }, there exists an equivalent CNF with n+k variables 3k clauses and 7k literals at most.
 - Satisfiability for a CNF ("SAT") is hard.
- Every Boolean expression can be put in DNF
 - For every Boolean expression with n variables and k literals using operators { NOT, AND, OR }, there exists an equivalent DNF with n variables and $n imes 2^n$ literals at most
 - Satisfiability for a DNF is trivial.

Example: Find a value for x1, x2, x3, x4, x5, x6 such that the following expression (in DNF) is true.

(x2 and (not x4) and (not x6))or ((not x1) and x5 and x6 and x7 and x9) or ((not x1) and (not x2) and (not x3)) or (x4 and x5 and x6)

- 1. Take any clause, e.g. (x2 and (not x4) and (not x6)).
- 2. Set variables to appropriate value so that all literals are true:

 $x^{2} = 1$, $x^{4} = 0$, $x^{6} = 0$.

3. All other variables can take any value.

4. Done

not x6)). Is are true: