

Tools for correctness, part 1

We are here

- Part 1: How computers works

- Boolean logic, integers
- Instructions
- Memory

- Part 2: Software development

- Compiling (clang, make, ...)
- Architectures, portability (ABIs, ...)
- Code management (regex, git)

- Part 3: Correctness

- Specifications
- Documentation, testing ← TODAY
- Static & dynamic analysis, debugging

- Part 4: Performance

- CPU pipelines, caches
- Data structures
- Parallel computation

Documentation

Documentation is GOOD

- Allows others to understand your code
- Allows you (in a few weeks) to understand your own code
- Helps make your thought process and assumptions explicit

Types of documentation

- Reference manuals
 - Complete, authoritative source of information
(if the code does not do what the manual says, then the code is wrong)
 - Must use precise language (even at the cost of legibility)
 - Examples: “man” pages, ABI docs, C standard, IEEE-754 specifications
- Tutorials
 - Beginner-friendly. Emphasize getting things to work quickly
(even at the cost of completeness)
 - Examples: various books (K&R C, Think Python) and intro material
- Questions and answers (Q&A)
 - Not exhaustive
 - Quick answers to frequently asked questions
 - Examples: Stack Overflow

Automated documentation

Automated documentation systems

- read and parse source code
- find functions (methods, classes, ...)
- create a document (PDF or webpage) containing function signatures
- specially-formatted comments in the source code are copied into the documentation along with the corresponding function signatures

Doxygen

Project

- eigen
- Manage >
- Plan >
- Code >
- Build >
- Deploy >
- Operate >
- Monitor >
- Analyze >

```
320
327 /** This is the "in place" version of transpose(): it replaces \c *this by its own transpose.
328  * Thus, doing
329  * \code
330  * m.transposeInPlace();
331  * \endcode
332  * has the same effect on m as doing
333  * \code
334  * m = m.transpose().eval();
335  * \endcode
336  * and is faster and also safer because in the latter line of code, forgetting the eval() results
337  * in a bug caused by \ref TopicAliasing "aliasing".
338  *
339  * Notice however that this method is only useful if you want to replace a matrix by its own transpose.
340  * If you just need the transpose of a matrix, use transpose().
341  *
342  * \note if the matrix is not square, then \c *this must be a resizable matrix.
343  * This excludes (non-square) fixed-size matrices, block-expressions and maps.
344  *
345  * \sa transpose(), adjoint(), adjointInPlace() */
346 template<typename Derived>
347 EIGEN_DEVICE_FUNC inline void DenseBase<Derived>::transposeInPlace()
348 {
349     eigen_assert((rows() == cols() || (RowsAtCompileTime == Dynamic && ColsAtCompileTime == Dynamic))
350                 && "transposeInPlace() called on a non-square non-resizable matrix");
351     internal::inplace_transpose_selector<Derived>::run(derived());
352 }
353
```

setLinSpaced
setOnes
setRandom
setZero
sum
swap
swap
transpose
transpose
transposeInPlace
value
visit
Zero
Zero
Zero

Table of contents

- ↓ Detailed Description
- ↓ Public Types
- ↓ Public Member Functions
- ↓ Static Public Member Functions
- ↓ Protected Member Functions
- ↓ Related Functions
- ↓ Member Typedef Documentation
- ↓ ◆ const_iterator
- ↓ ◆ iterator
- ↓ ◆ PlainArray
- ↓ ◆ PlainMatrix
- ↓ ◆ PlainObject

◆ transposeInPlace()

```
template<typename Derived >
```

```
void Eigen::DenseBase< Derived >::transposeInPlace
```

This is the "in place" version of [transpose\(\)](#): it replaces `*this` by its own transpose. Thus, doing

```
m.transposeInPlace();
```

has the same effect on `m` as doing

```
m = m.transpose().eval();
```

and is faster and also safer because in the latter line of code, forgetting the `eval()` results in a bug caused by [aliasing](#).

Notice however that this method is only useful if you want to replace a matrix by its own transpose. If you just need the transpose of a matrix, use [transpose\(\)](#).

Note

if the matrix is not square, then `*this` must be a resizable matrix. This excludes (non-square) fixed-size matrices, block-expressions and maps.

See also

[transpose\(\)](#), [adjoint\(\)](#), [adjointInPlace\(\)](#)

Python docstrings

```
def complex(real=0.0, imag=0.0):  
    """Form a complex number.  
  
    Keyword arguments:  
    real -- the real part (default 0.0)  
    imag -- the imaginary part (default 0.0)  
    """  
    if imag == 0.0 and real == 0.0:  
        return complex_zero  
    ...
```

Automated documentation systems

- General:
 - doxygen
 - sphinx
- Python-specific:
 - pdoc
 - PyDoc
 - pydoctor

Assertions

- Assertions are used to document (and check) assumptions made in the code.
- An assertion failure
 - should correspond to a **bug** in your code,
 - in Python, raises `AssertionError` exception
 - in C, triggers an immediate crash (`abort()`) of your program.

```
def gcd(a, b):  
    if a < b:  
        a, b = b, a  
  
    while b != 0:  
        assert a >= b          # <---- this should always be true  
        a, b = b, a % b  
  
    return a
```

```
#include <assert.h>

int gcd(int a, int b)
{
    if (a < b) {
        int r = a;
        a = b;
        b = r;
    }

    while (b != 0) {
        assert(a >= b);    // <----- this should always be true

        int r = a % b;
        a = b;
        b = r;
    }

    return a;
}
```

Disabling assertions

In Python:

```
python -O script.py
```

In C:

```
clang -D NDEBUG -Wall -O3 -o main main.c
```

(equivalent to

```
#define NDEBUG
```

at the beginning of every file)

Error vs assertion failure

- an error happens when, for external reasons, your program cannot run
 - examples: out of memory, file cannot be read, network unreachable
- an assertion fails if a fundamental assumption in your code is violated
 - indicates a **bug** in your code

Testing

```
/*
  This functions returns:
  0      if both of its arguments are zero
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero
*/
int either_nonzero(int a, int b)
{
    if (a != 0)
        a = 1;

    if (b != 0)
        b = 1;

    return (a | b) == 1;
}
```

```
void run_tests_0()
{
    assert(either_nonzero(5, 5) != 0);
    assert(either_nonzero(0, 5) != 0);
    printf("OK\n");
}
```

Test coverage

- **line coverage:**
is every line of code covered by some test case?
- **branch coverage:**
for every conditional branch, is there a test covering each of the two possibilities (taking the branch or not taking it)?
- **path coverage:**
is there a test covering all possible execution paths?

```
gcc -Wall -O3 --coverage -c -o either_nonzero.o either_nonzero.c
gcc -Wall -O3 --coverage -o run_tests main.c either_nonzero.o
```

```
./run_tests
```

```
OK
```

```
gcov either_nonzero.c
```

```
File 'either_nonzero.c'
Lines executed:100.00% of 4
Creating 'either_nonzero.c.gcov'
```

```
Lines executed:100.00% of 4
```

```
gcov -b either_nonzero.c
```

```
File 'either_nonzero.c'
Lines executed:100.00% of 4
Branches executed:100.00% of 4
Taken at least once:75.00% of 4
No calls
Creating 'either_nonzero.c.gcov'
```

```
Lines executed:100.00% of 4
```

```
function either_nonzero called 2 returned 100% blocks executed 100%
    2:    4:int either_nonzero(int a, int b)
    -:    5:{
branch 0 taken 50% (fallthrough)
branch 1 taken 50%
    2:    6:    if (a != 0)
    -:    7:        a = 1;
    -:    8:
branch 0 taken 100% (fallthrough)
branch 1 taken 0%
    2:    9:    if (b != 0)
    -:   10:        b = 1;
    -:   11:
    2:   12:    return (a | b) == 1;
    -:   13:}
```

```
/*  
  This functions returns:  
  0      if both of its arguments are zero  
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero  
*/  
int either_nonzero(int a, int b)  
{  
    if (a != 0)  
        a = 1;  
  
    if (b != 0)  
        b = 1;  
  
    return (a | b) == 1;  
}
```

```
void run_tests_0()  
{  
    assert(either_nonzero(5, 5) != 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero(0, 5) != 0);  
    printf("OK\n");  
}
```

Line coverage vs. branch coverage

```
/*  
  This functions returns:  
  0      if both of its arguments are zero  
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero  
*/  
int either_nonzero(int a, int b)  
{  
    if (a != 0)  
        a = 1;  
  
    if (b != 0)  
        b = 1;  
  
    return (a | b) == 1;  
}
```

```
void run_tests_x()  
{  
    assert(either_nonzero(5, 5) != 0);  
    printf("OK\n");  
}
```

Line coverage: 100%

Branch coverage: 50%

Branch coverage vs. path coverage

```
/*  
  This functions returns:  
  0      if both of its arguments are zero  
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero  
*/  
int either_nonzero(int a, int b)  
{  
    if (a != 0)  
        a = 1;  
  
    if (b != 0)  
        b = 1;  
  
    return (a | b) == 1;  
}
```

```
void run_tests_y()  
{  
    assert(either_nonzero(0, 0) == 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero(0, 5) != 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero(5, 0) != 0);  
    printf("OK\n");  
}
```

Line coverage: 100%

Branch coverage: 100%

Path coverage: 75%

How does it work?

```
gcc -Wall -O3 --coverage -c -o either_nonzero.o either_nonzero.c
```

```
/*  
  This functions returns:  
  0      if both of its arguments are zero  
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero  
*/  
int either_nonzero(int a, int b)  
{  
  line_covered(6);  
  if (a != 0) {           // line 6  
    branch_covered(6, 1);  
    line_covered(7);  
    a = 1;               // line 7  
  } else {  
    branch_covered(6, 0);  
  }  
  
  line_covered(9);  
  if (b != 0) {         // line 9  
    branch_covered(9, 1);  
    line_covered(10);  
    b = 1;              // line 10  
  } else {  
    branch_covered(9, 0);  
  }  
  
  line_covered(12);  
  return (a | b) == 1;  // line 12  
}
```

Limitations of test coverage measures (1)

```
/*  
  This functions returns:  
  0      if both of its arguments are zero  
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero  
*/  
int either_nonzero_WRONG_1(int a, int b)  
{  
    if (a != 0)  
        a = 1;  
  
    if (b != 0)  
        b = 1;  
  
    return (a + b) == 1;  
}
```

```
void run_tests_1()  
{  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_1(0, 0) == 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_1(0, 5) != 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_1(5, 0) != 0);  
    //assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_1(5, 5) != 0); // <-- this one fails  
    printf("OK\n");  
}
```

Line coverage: 100%

Branch coverage: 100%

Path coverage: 75%

Limitations of test coverage measures (2)

```
/*  
  This functions returns:  
  0      if both of its arguments are zero  
  nonzero if one or both of its arguments are nonzero  
*/  
int either_nonzero_WRONG_2(int a, int b)  
{  
    return a + b;  
}
```

```
void run_tests_2()  
{  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_2(0, 0) == 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_2(0, 5) != 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_2(5, 0) != 0);  
    assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_2(5, 5) != 0);  
    //assert(either_nonzero_WRONG_2(5, -5) != 0); // <-- this one fails  
    printf("OK\n");  
}
```

Line coverage: 100%

Branch coverage: 100%

Path coverage: 100%

Fuzzing

We need good tests

Assertions and tests are useful

but only if we have good test cases

and enough of them

⇒ How do we generate good tests?

On a basic level, a fuzzer proceeds as follows:

1. take a (mostly valid) example input file
2. run the tested program with that input file
3. check for crashes (e.g. segmentation fault, assertion failures)
4. modify the input file:
 - random modifications
 - truncations, duplications
 - mergers with other example input files
5. go back to 2

Advanced fuzzers

- use test coverage techniques
to determine which input files are “interesting”,
in that they cover previously-uncovered source code
- use static analysis techniques
to determine input file modifications that could trigger specific code branches

- open source project (<https://aflplus.plus/>)
- takes as an input a directory with many (mostly valid) example input files
- generates modified input files that (try to) yield crashes

```
afl-fuzz -i directory/with/example/inputs/ -o directory/for/crash/files/ -- ./executable @@
```

```
poirrier@dev:~/courses/softeng/hw02/glpk-5.0/afl_work
american fuzzy lop ++4.09a {default} (./examples/glpk) [fast]
┌── process timing ────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┐
│ run time      : 0 days, 0 hrs, 0 min, 17 sec │
│ last new find : 0 days, 0 hrs, 0 min, 6 sec  │
│ last saved crash : none seen yet             │
│ last saved hang : none seen yet             │
└── cycle progress ────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┘
│ now processing : 54.22 (58.1%) │
│ runs timed out : 0 (0.00%)     │
├── stage progress ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
│ now trying : splice 5 │
│ stage execs : 56/57 (98.25%) │
│ total execs : 73.4k │
│ exec speed : 4212/sec │
├── fuzzing strategy yields ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
│ bit flips : disabled (default, enable with -D) │
│ byte flips : disabled (default, enable with -D) │
│ arithmetics : disabled (default, enable with -D) │
│ known ints : disabled (default, enable with -D) │
│ dictionary : n/a │
│ havoc/splice : 29/49.2k, 8/23.5k │
│ py/custom/rq : unused, unused, unused, unused │
│ trim/eff : 91.92%/96, disabled │
├── strategy: explore ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
├── state: started :- ) ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
├── overall results ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
│ cycles done : 2 │
│ corpus count : 93 │
│ saved crashes : 0 │
│ saved hangs : 0 │
├── map coverage ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
│ map density : 0.25% / 0.28% │
│ count coverage : 3.94 bits/tuple │
├── findings in depth ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
│ favored items : 6 (6.45%) │
│ new edges on : 8 (8.60%) │
│ total crashes : 0 (0 saved) │
│ total tmouts : 0 (0 saved) │
├── item geometry ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┤
│ levels : 4 │
│ pending : 28 │
│ pend fav : 0 │
│ own finds : 37 │
│ imported : 0 │
│ stability : 100.00% │
└── [cpu000: 8%] ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┘
```